

## From bibliometrics to altmetrics: conceptual approaches

**Olesea Dobrea,** Head of Department Automation of Library Technologies

1





The factors that determined the jump from the traditional paradigm to the one of the digital communication:

- 1) the progress in the field of information technologies and communication;
- 2) development of new models and methods of information;
- 3) the active use of bibliometrics and scientometrics in editorial policy and management of science funding
- 4) the use of informational indicators regarding the international and national rankings of universities;
- 5) the widespread dissemination of electronic information resources, the development of the Internet and the international movement of open access to scientific knowledge.







#### **Bibliometrics**

- Bibliometrics "system of measures related to a book and a document" (in the "Traité de Documentation", P.Otlet)
- *"librametry"* similar to the terms *"econometrics", "biometrics" " measurement of all quantitative data directly related to the activity of libraries"* (in 1948, at the conference AsLib, Indian librarian and scientist, S. R. Ranganathan)



- *" application of mathematical and statistical methods for books and other media releases"* (Pritchard A., 1969)
- " quantitative study of published physical units or published bibliographic units or their surrogates" (R.N. Broadus, 1987)



#### Scientometrics

- "Scientometrics application of those quantitative methods that deal with the analysis of science seen as a process of information" (Nalimov V., 1969)
- *" quantitative study of science and technology"* (William Hood & Concepción Wilson )
- "the study of the quantitative aspects of science as a discipline or an economic activity. It is part of the sociology of science and has applications in the science policy. It involves quantitative studies of scientific activities, including, actually, publication, and thus overlaps with bibliometry to some extent." (Tague-Sutcliffe)



## Informetrics

- - the study of quantitative aspects of information. This includes the production, dissemination, and use of all forms of information, regardless of its form or origin. Informetrics encompasses the following fields:
- <u>Scientometrics</u>, which studies quantitative aspects of science
- <u>Webometrics</u>, which studies quantitative aspects of the <u>World Wide Web</u>
- Cybermetrics, which is similar to <u>webometrics</u>, but broadens its definition to include electronic resources
- <u>Bibliometrics</u>, which studies quantitative aspects of *recorded* information

The term informetrics was coined by Nacke in 1979



• Is that if a research article, called the source item, is cited in a future article, then it must have influenced the researchers who produced the future (downstream) article. Being cited by another researcher indicates that the source researcher is having an impact on the science: The research product is being used by others to create even more information. If a source item is cited many times, it must mean that its publication was useful to many people and has high impact. High impact is felt to reflect high value.



Hirsch-index - determined by the number of papers published during the selected year and the number of citations they obtained, an instrument for evaluating the scientific results of researchers and academic institutions and a criterion for quantifying their scientific impact.(Jorge Hirsch, in 2005) (Scopus (SciVerse Scopus,), Google Scholar or Web of Science de Thomson Reuters)





#### Scopus Author details

< Return to search results 1 of 1

Profile actions Ceban, Emil View potential author matches ⊘ Is this you? Claim profile ↗ Author ID: 56041536100 () Affiliation(s): (i) Section 2015 Edit author profile State University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Nicolae Testimiţanu" of the Republic of Moldova, Connect to ORCID ③ Moldova View more 🗸 Other name formats: Ceban, E. Set citation alert Subject area: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics Medicine Set document alert Documents by author Total citations h-index: ⑦ 7 25 by 25 documents 4 Analyze author output View *h*-graph View citation overview Document and citation trends: Documents Citations 0 2012 2019 Years

🖨 Print 🛛 Email



7 Documents Cited by 25 documents 10 co-authors	Topics		
View in search results format >	Sort	on: Date (newest)	~
Export all Add all to list Set document alert Set document fee	d		
Document title	Authors	Year Source	Cited by
Lower urinary tract symptoms and erectile dysfunction in men from the Republic of Moldova	Dumbraveanu, I., Ceban, E., Banov, P.	2018 Journal of medicine and life 11(2), pp. 153-159	0
View abstract $\checkmark$			
The efficacy of metaphylaxis in treatment of recurrent urolithiasis	Banov, P., Ceban, E.	2017 Journal of medicine and life 10(3), pp. 188-193	0
View abstract $\checkmark$			
Oxidative stress and antioxidant status in patients with complicated urolithiasis	Ceban, E., Banov, P., Galescu, A., Botnari, V.	2016 Journal of medicine and life 9(3), pp. 259-262	6
View abstract $\checkmark$			
Efficacy of a fixed combination of Centaurii herba, Levistici radix and Rosmarini folium in urinary lithiasis	Ceban, E.	2012 Zeitschrift fur Phytotherapie 33(1), pp. 19-23	4



Document title	Authors	Year Source	Cited by
View abstract $\checkmark$ View at Publisher Related documents			
The treatment of the reno-ureteral calculi by extracorporeal shockwave ithotripsy (ESWL).	Ceban, E.	2012 Journal of medicine and life 5(2), pp. 133-138	2
/iew abstract $\sim$			
Pharmacological therapy in patients diagnosed with Peyronie's disease.	Halal, A.A., Geavlete, P., Ceban, E.	2012 Journal of medicine and life 5(2), pp. 192-195	7
View abstract $\checkmark$			
mpact of obesity on retrograde ureteroscopic approach.	Drăguțescu, M., Mulțescu, R., Geavlete, B., (), Ceban, E., Geavlete, P.	, 2012 Journal of medicine and life 5(2), pp. 222-225	6
View abstract ∽			
Display: 20 🎽 results per page	1	<u>^</u>	Top of page

The data displayed above is compiled exclusively from documents indexed in the Scopus database. To request corrections to any inaccuracies or provide any further feedback, please use the Author Feedback Wizard .



'eb of Science [v.5.33] - Citation Report

http://apps.webofknowledge.com/CitationReport.do?product=WOS&search\_mode=CitationRepo



This report reflects citations to source items indexed within Web of Science Core Collection. Perform a Cited Reference Search to include citations to items not indexed within Web of Science Core Collection.

					Export Data: Save to Excel File	•
Total Publications	13	<i>h</i> -index	0	Sum of Times Cited	Citing articles	0
2 Analyze		0		0	0	
	1	Average citations	s per item	Without self citations	Without self citations	
1999	2018	0		0	0	



#### Web Of Science vs SciVerse Scopus

- **"Cited Reference Search"** (CRS), able to locate and find previously published citations and articles.
- **"Related Records"**, detects authors who cite the same records, while the similar function in SciVerse Scopus offers the possibility of discovering documents that are connected either by the author or by keywords, in a particular record.
- **"Citation tracking"** in Sciverse Scopus, and **"Create Citation Report"** of Web of Science can both determine the influence and scientific value of a recording for a given period of time.





#### **Impact Factor**

• frequency measuring instrument with which the article in a magazine was cited in a particular year or period. IF is calculated annually and represents the ratio between the number of citations and the total number of articles published by the respective magazine in at least two previous years

#### Impact factor for the year 2018

A = number of citations in 2018 of articles published in 2016-2017 in a journal

B= the number of articles published in 2016-2017 in a journal

Impact factor for 2018 = A/B



				http	://jcr.clarivate.com/J	CRJournalProfileAction	on.acti	on?pg=JR
Web of Science	InCites	Journal Citation Reports	Essential Science Indicators	EndNote	Publons	Sign In <del>w</del>	Help	English

#### NATURE

#### ISSN: 0028-0836

NATURE PUBLISHING GROUP MACMILLAN BUILDING, 4 CRINAN ST, LONDON N1 9XW, ENGLAND ENGLAND

Go to Journal Table of Contents Go to Ulrich's

#### Titles

ISO: Nature JCR Abbrev: NATURE

#### Categories MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES -

SCIE

Languages English

51 Issues/Year;

#### Return to Current Year page: Here

Key In	dicators												
Year	Total Cites Graph	Journal Impact Factor Graph	Impact Factor Without Journal Self Cites	5 Year Impact Factor Graph	Immediacy Index Graph	Citable Items Graph	Cited Half-Life Graph	Citing Half-Life Graph	Eigenfacto Score Graph	Article Influence Score Graph	% Articles in Citable Items Graph	Normalized Eigenfacto Graph	Average JIF Percentile Graph
2018	745,	43.070	42.477	45.819	9.435	904	10.1	6.1	1.28	22.404	96.57	152	99.275
2017	710,	41.577	41.015	44.959	9.700	836	10.8	6.0	1.35	22.537	95.93	158	99.219
2016	671,	40.137	39.533	43.769	9.129	879	>10.0	6.0	1.43	22.987	95.90	164	99.219
2015	627,	38.138	37,546	41.458	9.518	897	>10.0	5.8	1.44	22.215	94.87	164	99.206
2014	617,	41.456	40.821	41.296	9.585	862	>10.0	5.6	1.49	21.960	96.06	167	99,123
2013	590,	42.351	41.650	40.783	8.457	857	9.8	5.4	1.60	22.184	96.73	176	99.09
2012	554,	38.597	37.956	38.159	9.243	869	9.6	5.2	1.56	20.801	96.09	Not	99.107
2011	526,	36.280	35.707	36.235	9.690	841	9,4	5.1	1.65	20.373	95.60	Not	99.107
2010	511,	.36,104	35.527	35.248	8.792	862	9.1	5.2	1.73	19.306	95.71	Not	99.153
2009	483,	34.480	33.855	32.906	8.209	866	8.9	5.1	1.74	18.062	92.38	Not	99.000
2008	443,	31.434	30.864	31.210	8.194	899	8.5	4.9	1.76	17.279	94.66	Not	98.810
2007	417,	28.751	28.263	30.616	7.385	841	8.0	4.8	1.83	16.996	93.70	Not	99.000
2006	390,	26.681	26.060	Not	6.789	962	7.8	4.6	Not	Not	94.07	Not	97.000
2005	372,	29.273	28.645	Not	5.825	1,065	7.5	4.7	Not	Not	94.74	Not	96.875

2 Slide



## SCImago Journal Rank (SJR)

• measure of scientific influence of academic journals. A SJR journal is a numeric value indicating the average number of citations received during a selected year for each document published in that journal over the past three years.

				-			100						
	All subject areas V Al	I subject categor	ies	N	foldova		All t	ypes	× .	2018		Υ.	
8	0nly Open Access Journals 🏢 Only Se	iELO Journals 🛞	Only W	oS Journa	1s 🕐	Di	splay journal	s with at least <b>0</b>	Citat	le Docs. (3yea	rs) ~ [] . /	Apply	
											4 Download	data	
											1-6016	< >	
	Title	Туре	↓ SJR	H	Total Docs. (2018)	Total Docs. (3years)	Total Refs. (2018)	Total Cites (3years)	Citable Docs. (3years)	Cites / Doc. (2years)	Ref. / Doc. (2018)		
	1 Stratum Plus	journal	0.283 Q1	4	126	332	6130	61	331	0.22	48.65	•	
-	2 Rusin	journal	0.253 Q1	7	63	199	1562	50	186	0.36	24.79	•	
:	3 Quasigroups and Related Systems	journal	0.246 Q4	7	32	82	455	36	82	0.36	14.22	14	
	Buletinul Academiei de Stiinte a Rep Moldova, Matematica 👌	ublicit journal	0.146 Q4	6	17	84	224	13	84	0.12	13.18	••	
	5 Chemistry Journal of Moldova 👌	journal	0.135 Q4	3	26	58	738	30	56	0.54	28.38	•	
			0.101									1	



Ilsearch.php?q=19900192610&tip=sid&clean=0



Slide



## **Google Academic / Scholar**

 free search engine, which offers full text search for scientific publications in all formats and disciplines





### Altmetrics

 creation and research of new "metrics" for analyzing scientific communication (scientific impact, communication behavior of scientists), outside the traditional channels of the scientific communication system, namely in professional and social networks, blogs, forums, etc.



# Reasons for the need to develop altmetric indicators

- deficiencies in the expert evaluation process (subjectivity, need for a certain amount of time for examination (sometimes quite long);
- disadvantages of traditional bibliometric and scientometric indicators (firstly, ignored context, objectives, purpose, reasons for citation, etc.);
- shortcomings of the impact factor of the journal (cannot and should not be used for the purpose of individual evaluation of an article).



## Advantages of using altmetrics

- a more detailed understanding of the impact, demonstrating what "scientific products" are cited, discussed, saved and recommended;
- obtaining operational data that proves the impact in days, instead of years;
- visualizing the impact of such "scientific products" such as datasets, software, blog posts, video blogs, etc .;
- reflecting the signs of impact on a wider audience, including scientists, interns, teachers, and the general public;
- is often based on open data;
- speed; the impact can be viewed in real time (daily, weekly, annually) by the researcher.



### Disadvantages

- lack of control (diversity);
- susceptibility to manipulation;
- the need for standarts.





### **Databases that use altmetrics**

 BioMed Central, PLoS, Nature Publishing Group, Elsevier
 BioMed Central



The Open Access Publisher

# Altmetric

PLOS RESEARCH NEWS







#### Table 1. Principal measurements proposed by altmetrics, classified according to type of platform, indicator and social network or platform

Type of platform	Type of indicator	Social network or platform	Examples of indicators
DIGITAL LIBRARIES AND REFERENCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	Social bookmarking and digital libraries	General • Delicious Academic • Citeulike • Connotea • Mendeley	<ul> <li>N° of times marked as favourite</li> <li>N° of groups</li> <li>N° of groups added to</li> </ul>
	Mentions In social networks	General • Facebook • Google+ • Twitter Academic • Academia.edu • Research Cate	<ul> <li>Number of likes</li> <li>Number of clicks</li> <li>Number of comments</li> <li>Number of times shared</li> <li>Numbern of mentions in tweets</li> <li>Number of retweets</li> <li>Retweets of leading users</li> </ul>
SOCIAL NETWORKS AND MEDIA	Mentions in blogs	General • Blogger • Wordpress Academic • Nature Blogs • Postgenomic blog • Research Blogging	Etc.      Number of blog citations     Comments on the entry in blogs     Systems of rating the entry
	Mentions in encyclopedias	<ul> <li>Wikipedia</li> <li>Scholarpedia</li> </ul>	• Citations in the encyclopedia's entry
	Mentions in news promotion systems	General <ul> <li>Reddit</li> <li>Menéame</li> </ul> Academic <ul> <li>Faculty of 1000</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Number of times on the title page</li> <li>Number of Clicks (moves)</li> <li>Number of comments on the news</li> <li>Punctuation of experts</li> </ul>



## Programs (altmetrics instruments) used in the research evaluation process

Altmetric (<u>www.altmetric.com/</u>)





A single research output may live online in multiple websites and can be talked about across dozens of different platforms. At Altmetric, we work behind the scenes, collecting and collating

PHS





 $\leftarrow$   $\rightarrow$  C  $\stackrel{\circ}{}$  profiles.impactstory.org

(1) Impactstory



Track buzz on Twitter, blogs, news outlets and more: we're like Google Scholar for your research's online reach. Making a profile takes just seconds:



 ${\bf Q}$  See an example profile



About Twitter GitHub

Supported by the the National Science Foundation and Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

Log in

🔄 🕁 🦁 🔾 🚺



Plum Analytics <u>https://plumanalytics.com/</u>)

$\leftrightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ C $\textcircled{e}$ plumanalytics.com				🗟 🖈 🛡 O i
		itegrate Interact About	Stay in touch	
	***	Social Media Abstract Views: 124 PDF Views: 1253 HTML Views: 1254 HTML Views: 1254 HTML Views: 1264 Exports-awser Readers: 44 Nettions News Mentions: 56 Big Mentions: 25 Wikipedia: 3		
	Tell the Story. F the qu	Plum Analytics uses resu uestions and tell the sto	earch metrics to help answer ries about research.	
	Now, these questions are getting easier to answe immediately measure awareness and interest giv and tell the stories of research.	er. Research metrics that ve us new ways to uncover	What customers say "PlumX gives us a window on the full range of impact that our research has. No other system tracks as many	
	Technologies that encourage communication, sh	aring and other interaction	different metrics as PlumX."	

with research output—leave "footprints" to show the way back to who is interested in the research and why. Technologies that make processing big data possible—make it possible to categorize and analyze all of the metric

Tim Deliyannides, Director, Scholarly Communication and Publishing University Library System, University of Pittsburgh

Slide



- altmetrics gives "visibility", dynamism and social character of the research evaluation process;
- the use of altimetrics is associated with certain restrictions that must be taken into account (problems of continuity, reproducibility, normalization of results, etc.).



# For your ATTENTION!

Olesea Dobrea, Head of Department Automation of Library Technologies, Scientific Medical Library, Nicolae Testemitanu SUMPh <u>olesea.dobrea@usmf.md</u> atb.library@usmf.md

Slide